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#### Abstract

Algebra is a part of mathematics that plays an important role in solving problems in mathematics and other scientific fields, starting from concepts to algebraic thinking. Therefore, students need to master algebraic thinking skills. To meet that requirement, teachers are the ones who carry out the noble mission of discovering and developing algebraic thinking for students in the most reasonable way. This study was conducted to contribute to the foundation for further studies on the development of algebraic thinking for 10thgrade students. The survey results of 50 high school teachers provide an overview of teachers' perceptions in teaching the topic of functions, graphs and applications and the student's difficulties. According to the research results, most teachers have identified most of the difficulties that students face, but the development of algebraic thinking for students is still limited;having a more comprehensive view of the problem is necessary.


Keywords: Algebraic thinking, teacher's perspective, function

## 1. Introduction

The concept of a function is central to the high school math curriculum, as it is considered a key tool used in mathematical models describing real-world problems. Today, along with the strong development of technology, meeting the requirements of the knowledge economy requires teachers to grasp innovations in teaching content and methods promptly.

The general education program has been announced by the Ministry of Education and Training, which clearly states the requirements for student's abilities and the characteristics and objectives of the program. This program requires teachers to master the concept of program innovation and equip students with the necessary knowledge and skills in competency-based teaching methods. In addition, teachers must demonstrate their mastery of these innovative perspectives throughout the program.

According to the General Education Program in Mathematics in 2018, the requirements to achieve specific competencies are as follows: "Mathematics contributes to the formation and development of students' mathematical competence (the most concentrated expression of mathematical competence), including the following core components: mathematical thinking and reasoning abilities; mathematical modeling ability; ability to solve mathematical problems; mathematical communication competence; ability to use mathematical tools and means". Good mathematical thinking will lead to problem-solving abilities that can be applied in everyday life and the capacityfor overcoming challenges and focus on the method that delivers the most effective results-considered an important general competence that students must form from the beginning of the learning process [4], [6], [7], [8], [9].

Algebraic thinking is a part of mathematical thinking [5]. There are somealgebraic ways of thinking, such as generalization, abstraction, analytical thinking, dynamic thinking, modeling and organization [3], [13]. Details of the algebraic thinking process can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1: Indicators of algebraic thinking [15]

| Algebraic Thinking | $\quad$ Indicators of Algebraic Thinking |
| :--- | :--- |
| Generalization | Identify relationships between objects and find the general pattern or shape of a <br> given set of objects. |
| Abstraction | Use symbols like letters or pictures to represent variables as unknown values based <br> on generalizations. |
| Dynamic Thinking | Solve problems using various methods. |
| Modeling | Represent the situation in the problem in a mathematical mode. |
| Analytical Thinking | Solve problems by using equations to determine the value of avariable as unknown. |
| Organization | Select and organize data by creating tables, pictures, diagrams,or words describing <br> the problem and therelationship between the overall problem conditions. |
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Table 1 shows the indicators of algebraic thinking. According to Aprildat and Hakim (2021), two factors affect students' algebraic thinking skills: factors from within students and from outside students [1]. The teacher as an educator becomes an external factor affecting students' mathematical ability. The role of the teacher in choosing how to convey the material greatly influences the effectiveness of the learning process. It can be seen in the research results that students who know how to use learning game applications have a higher level of competence than those who do not.

Based on the algebraic thinking indicators, we realize the difficulty of students in understanding algebraic concepts and the importance of algebraic thinking skills in solving problems in mathematics and other areas. One of the mathematical literatureclosely related to algebra is functions, graphs and applications. In learning this chapter, algebraic thinking is necessary because in interpreting the problem, students must make a mathematical model of the problem within the problem, the transformations, the functional relationships, and the transformations to convert from language to sign the form [10].

Table 2:Requirements for knowledge and skills in teaching the topic of graph functions and applications

| Functions, Graphs and <br> Applications | Requirements to Be Met |
| :--- | :--- |
| Functions | Recognize real-life models (tables, charts, formulas) <br> leading to the concept of a function. <br> Describe the basic concepts of functions: function definition, set of <br> definitions <br> definition, set of values, covariate function, inverse function, and graph <br> of the function. <br> Describe the geometrical features of graphs of covariate and functional <br> functions <br> inverse. <br> Apply knowledge of functions to solve practical problems (for example, <br> build a first-order function on different intervals to calculate the amount <br> y (payable) according to the number of calling minutes x for a phone <br> plan). |
| Quadratic function | Set up the table of values of quadratic functions. <br> Draw a parabola is a graph of a quadratic function. <br> Recognize basic properties of parabola such as vertices and opposite axes <br> worthy. <br> Identify and explain the properties of quadratic functions through <br> graph. <br> Apply knowledge of quadratic functions and graphs to solve practical <br> problems (for example, determining the height of a bridge or a gate with <br> a Parabola shape) |
| Sign of a quadratic triangle | Explain the sign theorem of quadratic trigonometry from observing the <br> graph of the quadratic function. <br> Solve the quadratic inequality. <br> Applying the hidden quadratic inequalities to solve practical problems <br> (for example, determining the maximum height for a car to pass through <br> a tunnel with a parabola shape) |
| The equation that reduces to a | Solve equations containing roots of the form: <br> $\sqrt{a x^{2}+b x+c}=\sqrt{d x^{2}+e x+f}$ |
| $\sqrt{a x^{2}+b x+c}=d x+e$ |  |

The requirements in Table 2 require students to think algebraically about functional relationships, further developing their thinking. Based on the preceding, Table 3 proposes the levels of algebraic thinking in teaching the topic of functions, graphs and applications as follows:

International Journal of Latest Research in Humanities and Social Science (IJLRHSS)
Volume 06 - Issue 06, 2023
www.ijlrhss.com || PP. 211-217
Table3:Levels of algebraic thinking in functions, graphs and applications [11], [12]

| Table3:Levels of algebraic thinking in functions, graphs and applications [11], [12] |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Levels | Manifestations of Algebraic Thinking Ability |
| Level 1 | Visualization: Know how to write symbols for quadratic functions, quadratic inequalities, and <br> root inequalities; use common and arithmetic language. |
| Level 2 | Using alphanumeric language, hidden symbols bring the problem to algebraic equations. <br> Level 3Transformation operations include: Finding definite sets, solving equations, simplifying <br> expressions, and working with expressions and equivalent equations. |
| Level 4 | Find the expression containing the parameter m so that the equation has a solution, no solution. |
| Level 5 | Solve a problem containing parameters. |

## 2. Methods

Qualitative research was conducted to survey teachers' views, the current state of teaching in the direction of developing thinking capacity in general and algebraic thinking in particular, and teachers' perceptions of the difficulties students encounter in learning the above topic.Specific research studies include (1) The level of teachers' interest in teaching in developing general thinking capacity and algebraic thinking; (2) Teacher's comments about the difficulties that students face; (3)Teachers understand measures to develop algebraic thinking and the effectiveness of measures in teaching function topics. The research process is depicted in the following diagram from Figure 1:


Figure 1: Research process
A survey was conducted with 50 high school math teachers in Vietnam, including high schools in Ca Mau City and Vinh Long province. The survey consists of 8 questions to collect information about teachers' opinions on teaching the topic Functions, graphs and applications to develop mathematical thinking (especially algebraic thinking) and other information related to measures to develop algebraic thinking for 10th-grade students.

## 3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Question 1: In your opinion, will the development of algebraic thinking for 10th graders contribute to developing students' competencies and qualities per the views prescribed in the General Education Program in Mathematics 2018?

Table 4: Statistics of teachers' opinions on Question 1

| Scale | Strongly <br> Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f | 0 | 0 | 4 | 30 | 16 |
| $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 8 | 60 | 32 |

The General Education Program in Mathematics 2018 clearly states the requirements for specific competencies, whereby Mathematics contributes to the formation and development of students' mathematical competence (the most concentrated expression of computational competence). One of the core components is the ability to think and reason mathematically. Algebraic thinking is a part of mathematical thinking; the development of algebraic thinking contributes to the development of thinking and reasoning capacity in particular and students' general capacity and qualities. According to Table 4, the results show that the rate of consensus from teachers in some high schools is $92 \%$. Due to improvement and development; this encourages the study of the subject in a new direction.
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3.2 Question 2: Teachers indicate their level of interest in the following concepts:
Mathematical thinking
2 Abstract thinking
3 Creative thinking 4 Critical thinking
5 Algebraic thinking

Table5: Statistics of teachers' opinions onQuestion 2, including ideas in order from 1 to 5, respectively

| Scale | Strongly Disagree |  | Disagree |  | Neutral |  | Agree |  | Strongly Agree |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | f | \% |  | \% | f | \% | f | \% | f | \% |
| 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 14 | 40 | 80 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.2 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 28 | 30 | 60 | 3 | 6 |
| 2.3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 32 | 64 | 9 | 18 |
| 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 12 | 29 | 58 | 9 | 18 |
| 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 30 | 25 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 |

Today, with the development of information technology, to meet the requirements of the 2018 General Education Program, teachers must learn by themselves, be creative, and promote the role of teachers, which is to change strongly from the position of being a teacher. "teacher" to the position of "organizing, checking, and directing" students' learning activities. Implement more effectively and thoroughly the requirements of the "learning by doing" teaching method. The survey in Table 5 shows that most of the surveyed teachers promote their self-study and self-improvement roles by understanding the students' thinking; an average of $65 \%$ of teachers are regularly and very often interested. Mathematical thinking comprised $80 \%$, abstract thinking $66 \%$, creative thinking $82 \%$, and critical thinking $76 \%$ of all types of thought. Algebraic thinking is interested in only $20 \%$ of teachers, although research on algebraic thinking has been mentioned a lot because of Mathematics, including algebra. It plays an important and indispensable role in education in general and in developing students' thinking from preschool to high school. If algebraic thinking measures are implemented well, they will help students and even teachers perform well in their teaching and learning tasks.
3.3 Question 3: In your opinion, how is the development of algebraic thinking for students assessed?

Table 6: Statistics of teachers' opinions on Question 3

| Scale | Very unnecessary | Unnecessary | Neutral | unnecessary | Very unnecessary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f | 0 | 0 | 12 | 28 | 10 |
| $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 24 | 56 | 20 |

Question 3 was designed with the purpose of testing teachers' interest in developing algebraic thinking for students. Results obtained from Table 6, the number of teachers who realize the importance of developing math problem-solving capacity for students accounts for more than half of the total number of teachers participating in the survey ( 38 teachers, accounting for $76 \%$ ). With such a result, we can see that the research on applying measures to develop algebraic thinking for students will be easily accessible to most high school teachers.
3.4Question 4: What is your opinion about the obstacles for students when learning functions, graphs and applications through the following expressions?

Table7: Statistics of teachers' opinions on Question 4 (Only list the cases of agreeing, completely agreeing)

| Obstacles for Students | $f$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Students believe that the equal sign represents one-way math that processes <br> the right output from the left input | 30 | 60 |
| Focus on finding specific answers | 37 | 74 |
| Do not recognize commutative and distributive properties | 23 | 46 |
| Do not use mathematical symbols that represent relationships between <br> quantities | 23 | 46 |
| Do not understand the use of letters as a general number or as a variable | 23 | 46 |
| Having great difficulty performing math on unknowns | 30 | 60 |
| Having great difficulty performing math on the unknowns Not understanding <br> that equivalent transformations on both sides of an equation do not change its <br> equation. | 30 | 60 |
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Question 4 was designed to discover the difficulties that students often encounter from the teacher's perspective in the teaching process, especially in developing students' algebraic thinking. The results obtained from Table 7 show that the most serious difficulty for students is that $74 \%$ of the teachers agree that they still carry heavy arithmetic thinking and calculate with direct numbers that they have done. In elementary and middle school, years of practice are needed to organize activities to develop students' algebraic thinking. Next is the concept of the " $=$ " sign; the unknowns and the transformations are also quite a big obstacle for students (with 30 teachers observing, a rate of $60 \%$ ). They were followed by difficulties related to symbols, manipulation of unknowns, and properties of expressions (accounting for $46 \%$ ). Most teachers who participated in the survey have teaching experience and have learned about students' difficulties. Using measures to develop algebraic thinking in teaching to develop mathematical thinking and reasoning abilities for students has become necessary, with measures to overcome and limit students' difficulties.
3.5 Question 5: According to teachers, to develop algebraic thinking for students, what strategies can be applied in the teaching process? (You can choose more than one strategy)

Table8: Statistics of teachers' opinions on Question 5

| Strategies | $f$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Engage $\rightarrow$ Explore $\rightarrow$ Explain $\rightarrow$ Elaborate $\rightarrow$ Evaluate (5E learning model) | 40 | 80 |
| Use technology (games, spreadsheets, software, etc.) | 23 | 46 |
| Use manipulations | 10 | 20 |
| Use multiple representations | 13 | 26 |

For STEM education programs, the 5E model becomes an effective tool to help learners and teachers feel that they receive lessons systematically and seamlessly and can develop according to their needs, psychology of self-discovery and knowledge construction. Many recent studies have shown that the 5E model brings many positive effects on teaching work, which is reflected in the subject characteristics in the General Education Program in Mathematics, which is institutionalized in the content in textbooks, increasingly popular with teachers. Therefore, $80 \%$ of teachers appreciate this content in developing students' algebraic thinking. According to most respondents, the application of active teaching methods in teaching is more agreed upon by teachers. However, in Table 8, all of the above strategies develop algebraic thinking for students, which should be paid attention to when imparting knowledge to obtain the highest efficiency.
3.6 Question 6: According to you, what content in the 10th-grade math textbook can be exploited to develop algebraic thinking for students? (You can choose more than one option)

Table 9: Statistics of teachers' opinions on Question 6

| Content | $f$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Propositions and Sets | 27 | 54 |
| Inequalities and systems of first-order inequalities with two unknowns | 33 | 66 |
| Functions, graphs and applications | 30 | 60 |
| Combinatoric algebra | 20 | 40 |
| In-plane coordinate method | 13 | 26 |

The question was raised to test the consensus of teachers when choosing the most expensive situations to build measures to develop algebraic thinking for students. The survey results in Table 9 are not unexpected. Functions and equations are the two areas of most interest. In particular, functions are central in the high school math curriculum, relating to many other concepts about equations, inequalities, and mathematical models that describe practical problems.
3.7 Question 7: To discover and develop algebraic thinking for students at each level, teachers should choose the following contents and arrange them from low to high level.

1. Perform arithmetic operations with extended objects, such as counting and math operations.
2. Show object generalization.
3. Algebraic generalization activities: Forming expressions and equations. Show a generalization of the problem.
4. Consider the relationship between variables.
5. Use parameters and variables in generalization operations. Show symbols.
6. Parameter handling.
7. Analyze algebraic structures.

This question was asked to re-evaluate teachers' interest in developing algebraic thinking. Most choices are correct in the first three steps, the common level of student thinking seen by the teacher. Completing the research model, only seven teachers ( $14 \%$ ) gave the correct answer; this will be a suggestion to promote the implementation of full steps to help teachers and students achieve the highest results in their teaching and learning process. Through relatively well-equipped teachers, teachers can assess students' algebraic thinking levelsand find appropriate measures to develop this thinking for them to go further.
3.8 Question 8: In which teaching situations do you think the development of algebraic thinking will achieve high results? (You can choose more than one answer).

Table10: Statistics of teachers' opinions on Question 8

| Situations | $f$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Teaching concepts | 13 | 26 |
| Teaching rules and formulas | 30 | 60 |
| Teaching, solving exercises, practicing | 30 | 60 |
| Teaching experience | 27 | 54 |

The question was designed to investigate teachers' conceptions of building situations to develop algebraic thinking for students. The results obtained from Table 10 show that most teachers find it most feasible to design situations in teaching with problem-solving, practice, formulas, and rules (rate of $60 \%$ ), experiential teaching situations (rate of $54 \%$ ), and teaching of concepts (rate of $26 \%$ ). The key to students' algebraic thinking lies in choosing solutions from relevant mathematical knowledge, so students need to understand concepts and theorems to solve problems well.Measures to develop algebraic thinking will help students better understand math concepts by building activities that promote students' thinking about a math concept.

## 4. Conclusion

The survey results show high school teachers' level of understanding about their ability to think and reason mathematically and algebraic thinking. Another surprising result obtained from this study is that almost all of the teachers participating in the survey believe that the problem of algebraic thinking is new to teachers because it is largely understood to be the default in mathematical thinking, which is also reasonable according to statistics on search terms algebraic thinking based on databases most of the research works on algebraic thinking related to elementary school students, middle school, referring to a small number of high school students. The survey results show that teachers' judgments about the difficulties that students often face in acquiring knowledge create cognitive obstacles that negatively affect the teaching and learning of algebra.

Regardingfunctions, graphs and applications, the survey results also show that teachers pay special attention to constructing teaching situations in teaching and solving exercises, exercises, formulas, and rulesis the most feasible; this can also be considered as an advantage for the application of measures to develop algebraic thinking in teaching practice.

In addition to the above results, the study has some limitations that must be considered. First, the research results are still local because only 50 teachers from neighboring provinces participated in the survey. As a result, findings only represent teachers' perceptions of students as individuals in this regard. Therefore, it can be said that the findings of this study are local.

## 5. Recommendations

Professional training is a regular and continuous job for those working in education. Therefore, it is necessary to equip teachers with teaching strategies to develop thinking capacity, including algebraic thinking [2]. New studies with larger samples can be conducted, expanding the survey geographically or by grade level [14]. It is feasible to survey the state of research and application of algebraic thinking measures in teaching mathematics to future school teachers, more importantly conceptually and practically. Learning and applying measures to develop algebraic thinking in teaching functions, graphs and applications is possible and will certainly contribute to developing and improving students' thinking. It would be wise to take this into account as a potential substitute.

## 6. References

[1] D. Aprildat and D. L. . Hakim, "High School Students’ Algebraic Thinking Ability in Solving Linear Program Problems: Kemampuan Berpikir Aljabar Siswa SMA Dalam Menyelesaiakan Masalah Program Linear", Mathline, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 222-237, 2021. DOI: 10.31943/mathline.v6i2.216
[2] M. Driscoll, Fostering Algebraic Thinking: A Guide for Teachers Grades 6-10. Portsmouth, NH::Heinemann, 1999.
[3] J. Fletcher, "Developing Algebraic Thinking Through Group Discussion," Mathematics Connection, vol. 7, no. 1, 2010. DOI: $10.4314 / \mathrm{mc} . \mathrm{v} 7 \mathrm{il} .61534$
[4] L. A. Gold and J. Ashurst, "Stacking Algebraic Thinking," The Mathematics Teacher, vol. 111, no. 7, p. 560, 2018. DOI: 10.5951/mathteacher.111.7.0560
[5] J. Hodgen, R. Oldenburg, and H. Strømskag, "Algebraic thinking," Developing Research in Mathematics Education, pp. 32-45, Apr. 2018.DOI: 10.4324/9781315113562-4
[6] L. A. van den Kieboom, M. T. Magiera, and J. C. Moyer, "Exploring The Relationship Between K-8 Prospective Teachers' Algebraic Thinking Proficiency and the Questions They Pose During Diagnostic Algebraic Thinking Interviews," Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 429-461, Dec. 2013, doi: 10.1007/s10857-013-9264-1
[7] W. Kusumaningsih, D. Darhim, T. Herman, and T. Turmudi, "Improvement Algebraic Thinking Ability Using Multiple Representation Strategy On Realistic Mathematics Education," Journal on Mathematics Education, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 281-290, 2018. DOI: 10.22342/jme.9.2.5404.281-290
[8] M. Levin and J. Walkoe, "Seeds of Algebraic Thinking: A Knowledge in Pieces Perspective on the Development of Algebraic Thinking," ZDM - Mathematics Education, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 1303-1314, 2022.DOI: 10.1007/s11858-022-01374-2
[9] W. Listiani and Y. Sulistyorini, "Penyusunan Tes Matematika Berbasis High Order Thinking Skills," Prosiding Seminar Nasional IKIP Budi Utomo, vol. 1, no. 01, pp. 76-83, 2020. DOI: 10.33503/prosiding.v1i01.1106.
[10] R. Matsuura, S. Sword, M. B. Piecham, G. Stevens, and A. Cuoco, "Mathematical Habits of Mind for Teaching: Using Language in Algebra Classrooms," The Mathematics Enthusiast, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 735-776, 2013. DOI: 10.54870/1551-3440.1285
[11] S. Y. Maudy, D. S., and E. M., "Student' Algebraic Thinking Level," International Journal of Information and Education Technology, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 672-676, 2018. DOI: 10.18178/ijiet.2018.8.9.1120
[12] S. Y. Maudy, D. Suryadi, and E. Mulyana, "Level of student' algebraic thinking," Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 1157, p. 042057, 2019. DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/1157/4/042057
[13] L. Radford, "Iconicity and Contraction: A Semiotic Investigation of Forms of Algebraic Generalizations of Patterns in Different Contexts," ZDM, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 83-96, 2007. DOI: 10.1007/s11858-007-0061-0
[14] L. Radford, "The Emergence of Symbolic Algebraic Thinking in Primary School," ICME-13 Monographs, pp. 3-25, 2017. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-68351-5_1
[15] S. L. Yusrina and M. Masriyah, "Profil Berpikir Aljabar Siswa SMP dalam Memecahkan Masalah Matematika Kontekstual Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Matematika," MATHEdunesa, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 477484, 2019. DOI: 10.26740/mathedunesa.v8n3.p477-484

## Author profile

Pham Thi Hong Van is studying for a master's program, and her favorite subject is mathematics education. In addition, she is very interested in applying scientific research to different aspects of education, especially promoting students' activeness in learning.

Duong Huu Tong works as an education consultant in addition to his positions as an associate professor and senior lecturer at Can Tho University's School of Education in Can Tho City, Vietnam. Over 19 years, he has developed expertise in various areas, including mathematics education, curriculum evaluation and development.

